Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/643,554	FIEDOTIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Luke Gilligan	3626	
All Participants:	Status of Application	l:	
(1) <u>Luke Gilligan</u> .	(3) Eliza Stefaniw.		
(2) <u>Dion Bregman</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>12 March 2007</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Ap  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:  Prior art rejections of claims 1 and 3-57			
Claims discussed:			
1 and 3-57	,		
Prior art documents discussed:			
Halvorson			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
The Examiner suggested claim amendment that, in addition applied prior art. In addition, on 6/5/07, Dion Bregman agree			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance of	written summary of the substant	се
			ļ
Claff of			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)	